

Jurisdiction: **TETON COUNTY, MONTANA**

Local Mitigation Plan Review and Approval Status

Jurisdiction: Teton County	Title of Plan: Teton County All Hazard Mitigation Plan	Date of Plan: June 9, 2005
Local Point of Contact: Dick Van Auken	Address: Teton County Courthouse P.O. Box 610 Choteau, Montana 59422	
Title: Teton County Fire Warden/DES Director		
Agency: Teton County		
Phone Number: 406-466-5561	E-Mail: dva@3rivers.net	

State Reviewer: Kent Atwood	Title: SHMO	Date: January 17, 2006
---------------------------------------	-----------------------	----------------------------------

FEMA Reviewer: Diana Heyder Wade Nofziger Jennifer Fee	Title: Mitigation Specialist Mitigation Specialist Planner	Date: February 16, 2006 March 17, 2006 April 1, 2006
Date Received in FEMA Region VIII	January 24, 2006	
Plan Not Approved		
Plan Approved	XXXXX	
Date Approved	April 19, 2006	

Jurisdiction:	NFIP Status*			
	Y	N	N/A	CRS Class
1. Teton County (Good Standing, mapped 7/18/83)	X			
2. City of Choteau (Good Standing, mapped 7/3/84)	X			
3. Town of Fairfield (never mapped)			X	
4. Town of Dutton (not incorporated)			X	

* Notes:

Y = Participating

N = Not Participating

N/A = Not Mapped

LOCAL HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN REVIEW CROSSWALK

Jurisdiction: **TETON COUNTY, MONTANA**

FEMA REGION VIII

LOCAL MITIGATION PLAN REVIEW SUMMARY

The plan cannot be approved if the plan has not been formally adopted.

Each requirement includes separate elements. All elements of the requirement must be rated "Satisfactory" in order for the requirement to be fulfilled and receive a score of "Satisfactory." Elements of each requirement are listed on the following pages of the Plan Review Crosswalk. A "Needs Improvement" score on elements shaded in gray (recommended but not required) will not preclude the plan from passing. Reviewer's comments must be provided for requirements receiving a "Needs Improvement" score.

SCORING SYSTEM

Please check one of the following for each requirement.

N – Needs Improvement: The plan does not meet the minimum for the requirement. Reviewer's comments must be provided.

S – Satisfactory: The plan meets the minimum for the requirement. Reviewer's comments are encouraged, but not required.

Prerequisite(s) (Check Applicable Box)	NOT MET	MET
Adoption by the Local Governing Body: §201.6(c)(5) OR		N/A
Multi-Jurisdictional Plan Adoption: §201.6(c)(5) AND		X
Multi-Jurisdictional Planning Participation: §201.6(a)(3)		X
Planning Process	N	S
Documentation of the Planning Process: §201.6(b) and §201.6(c)(1)		X
Risk Assessment	N	S
Identifying Hazards: §201.6(c)(2)(i)		X
Profiling Hazards: §201.6(c)(2)(i)		X
Assessing Vulnerability: Overview: §201.6(c)(2)(ii)		X
Assessing Vulnerability: Identifying Structures: §201.6(c)(2)(ii)(A)	X	
Assessing Vulnerability: Estimating Potential Losses: §201.6(c)(2)(ii)(B)	X	
Assessing Vulnerability: Analyzing Development Trends: §201.6(c)(2)(ii)(C)	X	
Multi-Jurisdictional Risk Assessment: §201.6(c)(2)(iii)		X
Mitigation Strategy	N	S
Local Hazard Mitigation Goals: §201.6(c)(3)(i)		X
Identification and Analysis of Mitigation Actions: §201.6(c)(3)(ii)		X
Implementation of Mitigation Actions: §201.6(c)(3)(iii)		X
Multi-Jurisdictional Mitigation Actions: §201.6(c)(3)(iv)		X

Plan Maintenance Process

Monitoring, Evaluating, and Updating the Plan:
§201.6(c)(4)(i)
Incorporation into Existing Planning Mechanisms:
§201.6(c)(4)(ii)
Continued Public Involvement: §201.6(c)(4)(iii)

N	S
	X
	X
	X

LOCAL MITIGATION PLAN APPROVAL STATUS

PLAN NOT APPROVED

☐

PLAN APPROVED

☒

*States that have additional requirements can add them in the appropriate sections of the *Multi-Hazard Mitigation Planning Guidance* or create a new section and modify this Plan Review Crosswalk to record the score for those requirements.

[See Reviewer's Comments](#)

Jurisdiction: **TETON COUNTY, MONTANA**

PREREQUISITE(S)

Adoption by the Local Governing Body

Requirement §201.6(c)(5): [The local hazard mitigation plan **shall** include] documentation that the plan has been formally adopted by the governing body of the jurisdiction requesting approval of the plan (e.g., City Council, County Commissioner, Tribal Council).

Element	Location in the Plan (section or annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	SCORE	
			NOT MET	MET
A. Has the local governing body adopted the plan?	N/A			
B. Is supporting documentation, such as a resolution, included?	N/A			
SUMMARY SCORE				N/A

Multi-Jurisdictional Plan Adoption

Requirement §201.6(c)(5): For multi-jurisdictional plans, each jurisdiction requesting approval of the plan **must** document that it has been formally adopted.

Element	Location in the Plan (section or annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	SCORE	
			NOT MET	MET
A. Does the plan indicate the specific jurisdictions represented in the plan?	Vol. 1 Page 37	Jurisdictions represented in the plan are Teton County, the City of Choteau, the Town of Fairfield and the Town of Dutton (unincorporated).		X
B. For each jurisdiction, has the local governing body adopted the plan?	Vol. 1 Pages 159 – 162	All jurisdictions adopted the plan.		X
C. Is supporting documentation, such as a resolution, included for each participating jurisdiction?	Vol. 1 Pages 159 – 162	Resolutions for each jurisdiction are included in the plan.		X
SUMMARY SCORE				X

Multi-Jurisdictional Planning Participation

Requirement §201.6(a)(3): Multi-jurisdictional plans (e.g., watershed plans) may be accepted, as appropriate, as long as each jurisdiction has participated in the process ... Statewide plans will not be accepted as multi-jurisdictional plans.

Element	Location in the Plan (section or annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	SCORE	
			NOT MET	MET
A. Does the plan describe how each jurisdiction participated in the plan's development?	Vol. 1 Pages 14 – 23	The plan provides a list of participants in the planning committee meetings, volunteered time, or responded to elements of the Teton County All Hazard Mitigation Plan's preparation.		X
SUMMARY SCORE				X

LOCAL HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN REVIEW CROSSWALK

FEMA REGION VIII

Jurisdiction: **TETON COUNTY, MONTANA**

PLANNING PROCESS: §201.6(b): *An open public involvement process is essential to the development of an effective plan.*

Documentation of the Planning Process

Requirement §201.6(b): *In order to develop a more comprehensive approach to reducing the effects of natural disasters, the planning process **shall** include:*

- (1) *An opportunity for the public to comment on the plan during the drafting stage and prior to plan approval;*
- (2) *An opportunity for neighboring communities, local and regional agencies involved in hazard mitigation activities, and agencies that have the authority to regulate development, as well as businesses, academia and other private and non-profit interests to be involved in the planning process; and*
- (3) *Review and incorporation, if appropriate, of existing plans, studies, reports, and technical information.*

Requirement §201.6(c)(1): *[The plan **shall** document] the planning process used to develop the plan, including how it was prepared, who was involved in the process, and how the public was involved.*

Element	Location in the Plan (section or annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	SCORE	
			N	S
A. Does the plan provide a narrative description of the process followed to prepare the plan?	Vol. 1 Pages 7-8. 14-23	The planning process is very detailed. Every word mentioned throughout the planning process was captured.		X
B. Does the plan indicate who was involved in the planning process? (For example, who led the development at the staff level and were there any external contributors such as contractors? Who participated on the plan committee, provided information, reviewed drafts, etc.?)	Vol. 1 Pages 7-8. 14-23	Pg 14-15 lists everyone who participated throughout the process, and page 7-8 identifies the specific planning team. This process was very well documented.		X
C. Does the plan indicate how the public was involved? (Was the public provided an opportunity to comment on the plan during the drafting stage and prior to the plan approval?)	Vol. 1 Pages 1, 8-13, 24-26 Vol. 3 Pages 36-43	The public participation requirement was met with public meetings, and an extensive survey in which 109 of 235 residents responded. Despite some difficulties with the survey, the response rate was significant and a great method for public involvement.		X
D. Was there an opportunity for neighboring communities, agencies, businesses, academia, nonprofits, and other interested parties to be involved in the planning process?	Vol. 1 Pages 1, 10, 24-26 Vol. 3 Pages 36-43	The planners provided many opportunities for all interested parties to be involved in the planning process.		X
E. Does the planning process describe the review and incorporation, if appropriate, of existing plans, studies, reports, and technical information?	Vol. 1 Pages 4-6 Vol. 2 Pages 2-11	The plan has a strong link to other plans by incorporating the National Fire Plan and Healthy Forests Restoration Act, as well as the Teton County Growth Plan. In addition SHELDUS and national data sources were also utilized. Recommended Revision for the five year update: Describe how the jurisdictions integrated information in the plan from existing plans, studies, and reports.		X
SUMMARY SCORE				X

LOCAL HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN REVIEW CROSSWALK

FEMA REGION VIII

Jurisdiction: **TETON COUNTY, MONTANA**

RISK ASSESSMENT: §201.6(c)(2): *The plan shall include a risk assessment that provides the factual basis for activities proposed in the strategy to reduce losses from identified hazards. Local risk assessments must provide sufficient information to enable the jurisdiction to identify and prioritize appropriate mitigation actions to reduce losses from identified hazards.*

Identifying Hazards

Requirement §201.6(c)(2)(i): *[The risk assessment shall include a] description of the type ... of all natural hazards that can affect the jurisdiction.*

Element	Location in the Plan (section or annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	SCORE	
			N	S
A. Does the plan include a description of the types of all natural hazards that affect the jurisdiction? If the hazard identification omits (without explanation) any hazards commonly recognized as threats to the jurisdiction, this part of the plan cannot receive a Satisfactory score. Consult with the State Hazard Mitigation Officer to identify applicable hazards that may occur in the planning area.	Vol. 1 Pages 79-138 Vol. 2 Pages 60-68	Each hazard profile provides a description of the hazard potentially impacting the county. The plan includes information for all identified hazards The plan does a great job at including information from local newspapers and SHELDUS as well as additional sources. For more information refer to SHELDUS (www.sheldus.org). A Flood Insurance Study is available for Teton County. For more information refer to http://msc.fema.gov/ . The National Inventory of Dams appears to indicate that there are 12 high hazard dams in Teton County and one of them, Theboe Lake, does not appear to have an Emergency Action Plan. The National Dam Safety Act requires that an emergency action plan (EAP) be completed for high hazard dams. Developing an EAP for Theboe would be beneficial mitigation strategy. Please see http://crunch.tec.army.mil/nid/webpages/nid.cfm (introduction and download dam data) for National Dam Inventory information. Online EPA data suggests that there are no toxic release inventory sites in Teton County. Please see http://www.epa.gov/triexplorer/ for more information.		X
SUMMARY SCORE				X

Profiling Hazards

Requirement §201.6(c)(2)(i): *[The risk assessment shall include a] description of the ... location and extent of all natural hazards that can affect the jurisdiction. The plan shall include information on previous occurrences of hazard events and on the probability of future hazard events.*

Element	Location in the Plan (section or annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	SCORE	
			N	S

LOCAL HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN REVIEW CROSSWALK

FEMA REGION VIII

Jurisdiction: **TETON COUNTY, MONTANA**

A. Does the risk assessment identify the location (i.e., geographic area affected) of each natural hazard addressed in the plan?	Vol. I Pages 79-140 Vol. II Pages 60 – 65	The plan describes, in great detail, the geographical areas affected and can be found under the County Profiles or Community Risk Assessment on pages 85-138. Excellent maps are also included, which depict the location affected. The Wildfire Mitigation Plan goes into great detail on the geological location under section 3.9.1, Wildfire Ignition Profile.		X
B. Does the risk assessment identify the extent (i.e., magnitude or severity) of each hazard addressed in the plan?	Vol. I Pages 79-140 Vol. II Pages 60 – 65	The magnitude of past events is highlighted on pages 80-84 and includes death, structure loss and associated costs when applicable. The plan also includes potential loss estimates for all identified hazards.		X
C. Does the plan provide information on previous occurrences of each hazard addressed in the plan?	Vol. I Pages 79-140	The plan provides a good historical perspective, based on available data. As time goes on, they should be able to capture more precise data.		X
D. Does the plan include the probability of future events (i.e., chance of occurrence) for each hazard addressed in the plan?	Vol. I Pages 1-3	Based on the surveys and other means of obtaining information, the plan does a good job of delineating what the primary threats are, and associates them with potential events.		X
SUMMARY SCORE				X

Assessing Vulnerability: Overview

Requirement §201.6(c)(2)(ii): *[The risk assessment shall include a] description of the jurisdiction's vulnerability to the hazards described in paragraph (c)(2)(i) of this section. This description shall include an overall summary of each hazard and its impact on the community.*

Element	Location in the Plan (section or annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	SCORE	
			N	S
A. Does the plan include an overall summary description of the jurisdiction's vulnerability to each hazard?	Vol. I Pages 79-140 Vol. II Pages 99-131	Each hazard profile includes discussion on the jurisdictions overall vulnerability and provides the impacts on the communities by discussing past occurrences. Recommended Revision for the five year update: The plan contains good information in regards to the jurisdictions' vulnerability throughout the hazard profiles. The plan may be enhanced if the hazard profiles condensed information related to vulnerability into a "vulnerability" section instead of touching on the topic throughout the hazard profiles.		X
B. Does the plan address the impact of each hazard on the jurisdiction?	Vol. I Pages 79-140 Vol. II Pages 99-131	The hazard profiles identify past events related to location, which include the impacts in terms of date, hazard type, injuries, fatalities, property damage, and crop damage. In addition, each hazard discusses past occurrences.		X
SUMMARY SCORE				X

LOCAL HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN REVIEW CROSSWALK

FEMA REGION VIII

Jurisdiction: **TETON COUNTY, MONTANA**

Assessing Vulnerability: Identifying Structures

Requirement §201.6(c)(2)(ii)(A): *The plan **should** describe vulnerability in terms of the types and numbers of existing and future buildings, infrastructure, and critical facilities located in the identified hazard area*

Element	Location in the Plan (section or annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	SCORE	
			N	S
A. Does the plan describe vulnerability in terms of the types and numbers of existing buildings, infrastructure, and critical facilities located in the identified hazard areas?	Vol. I Pages 79-140 Vol. II Pages 99-131	<p>The plan includes significant infrastructure on pages 58-60. In addition Table 3.15 lists critical buildings and infrastructure, which includes owner, type, and entity, although they are not in relation to identified hazard areas. The plan also includes a map depicting the critical facilities for all participating jurisdictions. Flood is the only hazard for which critical infrastructure is identified. To meet this requirement the plan must identify critical facilities in relation to all identified hazards.</p> <p>Recommended Revisions for the five year update: For each hazard, identify the type and number of existing buildings and infrastructure in addition to critical facilities within each hazard area.</p> <p>While not required by the Rule, it is useful to inventory structures located within areas that have repeatedly flooded and collect information on past insurance claims. At a minimum, describe repetitive loss neighborhoods or areas in the plan.</p> <p>For a discussion on identifying vulnerable structures and detailed inventories, see <i>Understanding Your Risks</i> (FEMA 386-2), Step 3, Worksheet #3a and #3b, Inventory Assets.</p> <p>Note: A "Needs Improvement" score on this requirement will not preclude the plan from passing.</p>	X	
B. Does the plan describe vulnerability in terms of the types and numbers of future buildings, infrastructure, and critical facilities located in the identified hazard areas?	Vol. I Pages 79-140 Vol. II Pages 99-131	<p>The plan does not identify vulnerability in terms of the types and numbers of future buildings, infrastructure, and critical facilities located in the identified hazard areas.</p> <p>Recommended Revisions for the five year update: For each hazard, identify the type and number of future buildings, infrastructure, and critical facilities within each hazard area.</p> <p>Additional Suggestions: Identify the types of buildings (e.g., residential, commercial, institutional, recreational, industrial, and municipal buildings),</p>	X	

LOCAL HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN REVIEW CROSSWALK

FEMA REGION VIII

Jurisdiction: TETON COUNTY, MONTANA

		<p>infrastructure (e.g., roadways, bridges, utilities, and communications systems), and critical facilities (e.g., shelters, hospitals, police, and fire stations).</p> <p>Information on proposed buildings, infrastructure, and critical facilities, including planned and approved development, may be based on information in the comprehensive or land use plan and zoning maps.</p> <p>Identify buildings, infrastructure, and critical facilities that are vulnerable to more than one hazard.</p> <p>Describe the process or method used for identifying future buildings, infrastructure, and critical facilities.</p> <p>Note any data limitations for determining the type and numbers of future buildings, infrastructure, and critical facilities and include in the mitigation strategy actions for collecting the data to improve future vulnerability assessment efforts.</p> <p>For a discussion on identifying vulnerable structures and detailed inventories, see <i>Understanding Your Risks</i> (FEMA 386-2), Step 3, Worksheet #3a and #3b, Inventory Assets.</p> <p><i>Note: A “Needs Improvement” score on this requirement will not preclude the plan from passing.</i></p>		
SUMMARY SCORE			X	

LOCAL HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN REVIEW CROSSWALK

FEMA REGION VIII

Jurisdiction: **TETON COUNTY, MONTANA**

Assessing Vulnerability: Estimating Potential Losses

Requirement §201.6(c)(2)(ii)(B): *[The plan **should** describe vulnerability in terms of an] estimate of the potential dollar losses to vulnerable structures identified in paragraph (c)(2)(i)(A) of this section and a description of the methodology used to prepare the estimate*

Element	Location in the Plan (section or annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	SCORE	
			N	S
A. Does the plan estimate potential dollar losses to vulnerable structures?	Vol. 1 Page 87-89	<p>The plan does include an estimate of potential dollar loss for flood on pages 87-88, although potential dollar loss is not discussed for any other identified vulnerable structure. Although this is a great start, future losses would have to be addressed to fully satisfy this requirement.</p> <p>Recommended Revisions for the five year update:</p> <p>Please include potential losses for all identified vulnerable structures.</p> <p>Please include future dollar losses to vulnerable structures.</p> <p>Include, when resources permit, estimates for structures, contents, and function losses to present a full picture of the total loss for each building, infrastructure, and critical facility.</p> <p>Include a composite loss map to locate high potential loss areas to help the jurisdiction focus its mitigation priorities.</p> <p>Note any data limitations for estimating losses and include in the mitigation strategy actions for collecting the data to improve future loss estimate efforts.</p> <p>For a step-by-step method for estimating losses, see <i>Understanding Your Risks</i> (FEMA 386-2), Step 4.</p> <p>Note: A “Needs Improvement” score on this requirement will not preclude the plan from passing.</p>	X	
B. Does the plan describe the methodology used to prepare the estimate?	Vol. 1 Page 87 Vol. II Page 89	<p>The plan includes the methodology used to prepare the estimates, which utilized FIRM maps to assess how many structures were in the flood zone and than utilized 2004 assessor data to obtain the potential dollar loss. They used FIRM maps, landslide information, drought information and wildfire information in developing their methodology.</p> <p>Note: A “Needs Improvement” score on this requirement will not preclude the plan from passing.</p>		X
SUMMARY SCORE			X	

LOCAL HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN REVIEW CROSSWALK

FEMA REGION VIII

Jurisdiction: **TETON COUNTY, MONTANA**

Assessing Vulnerability: Analyzing Development Trends

Requirement §201.6(c)(2)(ii)(C): *[The plan **should** describe vulnerability in terms of] providing a general description of land uses and development trends within the community so that mitigation options can be considered in future land use decisions.*

Element	Location in the Plan (section or annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	SCORE	
			N	S
A. Does the plan describe land uses and development trends?	Vol. I Page 46	<p>Land Use and development trends are discussed in general terms and states that the population is slightly increasing. Although this is a great start, the plan needs to include population projections and growth in relation to other identified hazard areas so that mitigation options can be considered in future land use decisions.</p> <p>Recommended Revisions for the five year update:</p> <p>An extensive description of land uses and development trends for wildfire is found within the plan. The plan would benefit from including these descriptions for all applicable hazards</p> <p>Note: A “Needs Improvement” score on this requirement will not preclude the plan from passing.</p>	X	
SUMMARY SCORE			X	

Multi-Jurisdictional Risk Assessment

Requirement §201.6(c)(2)(iii): *For multi-jurisdictional plans, the risk assessment **must** assess each jurisdiction's risks where they vary from the risks facing the entire planning area.*

Element	Location in the Plan (section or annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	SCORE	
			N	S
A. Does the plan include a risk assessment for each participating jurisdiction as needed to reflect unique or varied risks?	<p>Vol. I Pages 84 – 139</p> <p>Vol. II Pages 98 – 147</p>	<p>Marginally met. The plan describes, in great detail, the geographical areas affected and can be found under the County Profiles or Community Risk Assessment on pages 85-138. Excellent maps are also included, which depict the location affected. However, summarized data showing the unique or varied risks would enhance the plan.</p> <p>The Wildfire Mitigation Plan includes Ignition profiles and summaries of risk and preparedness for each community, which identifies jurisdictions most at risk to fire hazards. The plan does not include a summary of where risks vary by jurisdiction. The plan describes, in great detail, the geographical areas affected and can be found under the</p>		X

LOCAL HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN REVIEW CROSSWALK

FEMA REGION VIII

Jurisdiction: **TETON COUNTY, MONTANA**

		<p>County Profiles or Community Risk Assessment on pages 85-138. Excellent maps are also included, which depict the location affected.</p> <p>The Wildfire Mitigation Plan includes Ignition profiles and summaries of risk and preparedness for each community, which identifies jurisdictions most at risk to fire hazards.</p> <p><u>Recommendation:</u></p> <p>For the five year update, the plan needs to provide more information about “unique or varied” risks within the county.</p>		
SUMMARY SCORE				X

MITIGATION STRATEGY: §201.6(c)(3): *The plan shall include a mitigation strategy that provides the jurisdiction’s blueprint for reducing the potential losses identified in the risk assessment, based on existing authorities, policies, programs and resources, and its ability to expand on and improve these existing tools.*

Local Hazard Mitigation Goals

Requirement §201.6(c)(3)(i): *[The hazard mitigation strategy **shall** include a] description of mitigation goals to reduce or avoid long-term vulnerabilities to the identified hazards.*

Element	Location in the Plan (section or annex and page #)	Reviewer’s Comments	SCORE	
			N	S
A Does the plan include a description of mitigation goals to reduce or avoid long-term vulnerabilities to the identified hazards? (GOALS are long-term; represent what the community wants to achieve, such as “eliminate flood damage”; and are based on the risk assessment findings.)	Vol. I Pages 5 -6 Vol. II Pages 8 - 9	Seven primary goals are listed, plus the plan identifies seven wildfire goals..		X
SUMMARY SCORE				X

Identification and Analysis of Mitigation Actions

Requirement §201.6(c)(3)(ii): *[The mitigation strategy **shall** include a] section that identifies and analyzes a comprehensive range of specific mitigation actions and projects being considered to reduce the effects of each hazard, with particular emphasis on new and existing buildings and infrastructure.*

Element	Location in the Plan (section or annex and page #)	Reviewer’s Comments	SCORE	
			N	S
A. Does the plan identify and analyze a comprehensive range of specific mitigation actions and projects for each hazard?	Vol. I Pages 146 – 153 Vol. II Pages 153 –168	The plan identifies a wide range of mitigation actions.		X

LOCAL HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN REVIEW CROSSWALK

FEMA REGION VIII

Jurisdiction: **TETON COUNTY, MONTANA**

B. Do the identified actions and projects address reducing the effects of hazards on new buildings and infrastructure?	Vol. I Page 145 Vol. II Page 153-154	The plan includes several projects that address reducing effects of hazards on new buildings, some include: develop policies and standards concerning new building, standardize practices for excavation, construction, and grading, and housing projects that will reduce their exposure to risk factors and implement land use policy.		X
C. Do the identified actions and projects address reducing the effects of hazards on existing buildings and infrastructure?	Vol. I Pages 146 - 149	A general direction for mitigation is provided for existing buildings and infrastructure. One project that would protect existing buildings and infrastructure is to educate the public about non-reinforced masonry.		X
SUMMARY SCORE				X

Implementation of Mitigation Actions

Requirement: §201.6(c)(3)(iii): [The mitigation strategy section **shall** include] an action plan describing how the actions identified in section (c)(3)(ii) will be prioritized, implemented, and administered by the local jurisdiction. Prioritization **shall** include a special emphasis on the extent to which benefits are maximized according to a cost benefit review of the proposed projects and their associated costs.

Element	Location in the Plan (section or annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	SCORE	
			N	S
A. Does the mitigation strategy include how the actions are prioritized ? (For example, is there a discussion of the process and criteria used?)	Vol. I Pages 142 – 146 Vol. Pages 149 - 153	The plan developers did a nice job of detailing the prioritization process. The plan indicates that a numerical scoring system was used to prioritize projects. Factors used to prioritize the projects ranged from cost benefit ratios, to details on the hazard being mitigated, to environmental impacts. The extensive list of factors used to prioritize projects can be found on pages 143-144.		X
B. Does the mitigation strategy address how the actions will be implemented and administered ? (For example, does it identify the responsible department, existing and potential resources, and timeframe?)	Vol. I Pages 147 – 153 Vol. II Pages 158 – 166	The plan identifies the actions, responsible agency, costs, and timelines. Recommendation: Many of the proposed actions are “Preparedness and Response” activities. As these are important to the jurisdictions involved, that's OK to put in the plan. However, if you want to compete for FEMA PDMC or HMGP funds, many of these activities would not be eligible. The “How-to-Guide” <i>Developing the Mitigation Plan</i> (FEMA 386-3) can help. Also, the State Hazard Mitigation Officer has information about eligibility for various FEMA grant programs. Please contact Kent Atwood at 406-841-3960.		X
C. Does the prioritization process include an emphasis on the use of a cost-benefit review (see page 3-36 of <i>Multi-Hazard Mitigation Planning Guidance</i>) to maximize benefits?	Vol. I Page 142 Vol. II Pages 148 – 150	Cost-benefit review is a part of the ranking process, with a special emphasis on it. The methodology can be found on page 144.		X
SUMMARY SCORE				X

LOCAL HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN REVIEW CROSSWALK

FEMA REGION VIII

Jurisdiction: **TETON COUNTY, MONTANA**

Multi-Jurisdictional Mitigation Actions

Requirement §201.6(c)(3)(iv): For multi-jurisdictional plans, there **must** be identifiable action items specific to the jurisdiction requesting FEMA approval or credit of the plan.

Element	Location in the Plan (section or annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	SCORE	
			N	S
A Does the plan include at least one identifiable action item for each jurisdiction requesting FEMA approval of the plan?	Vol. I Pages 147 – 149 Vol. II Pages 158 -162	All four participating jurisdictions are identified as the responsible organization for at least one of the identified action items.		X
SUMMARY SCORE				X

PLAN MAINTENANCE PROCESS

Monitoring, Evaluating, and Updating the Plan

Requirement §201.6(c)(4)(i): [The plan maintenance process **shall** include a] section describing the method and schedule of monitoring, evaluating, and updating the mitigation plan within a five-year cycle.

Element	Location in the Plan (section or annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	SCORE	
			N	S
A. Does the plan describe the method and schedule for monitoring the plan? (For example, does it identify the party responsible for monitoring and include a schedule for reports, site visits, phone calls, and meetings?)	Vol. I Page 32	There is a five-year review cycle that is tied to the anniversary of the adoption date. The Teton County Commissioners are responsible for annual review and update of the plan.		X
B. Does the plan describe the method and schedule for evaluating the plan? (For example, does it identify the party responsible for evaluating the plan and include the criteria used to evaluate the plan?)	Vol. I Page 142 Vol. II Page 149	The County Commissioners are charged with reviewing the plan annually, with a re-evaluation of it being done every five years.		X
C. Does the plan describe the method and schedule for updating the plan within the five-year cycle?	Vol. I Page 142 Vol. II Page 149	The Teton County Commissioners will be responsible for updating the plan. The plan indicates that re-evaluation of the plan should be made on the 5 th anniversary of its acceptance, and every 5-year period following.		X
SUMMARY SCORE				X

LOCAL HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN REVIEW CROSSWALK

FEMA REGION VIII

Jurisdiction: **TETON COUNTY, MONTANA**

Incorporation into Existing Planning Mechanisms

Requirement §201.6(c)(4)(ii): *[The plan **shall** include a] process by which local governments incorporate the requirements of the mitigation plan into other planning mechanisms such as comprehensive or capital improvement plans, when appropriate.*

Element	Location in the Plan (section or annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	SCORE	
			N	S
A. Does the plan identify other local planning mechanisms available for incorporating the requirements of the mitigation plan?	Vol. I Page 6	Where appropriate, these planning requirements will be integrated into other county planning instruments, such as the Growth Policy Plan.		X
B. Does the plan include a process by which the local government will incorporate the requirements in other plans, when appropriate?	Vol. I Page 6	Where appropriate, the Teton County All Hazards Plan will provide the basis for all future planning, and is closely tied to the Teton County Growth Policy Plan		X
SUMMARY SCORE				X

Continued Public Involvement

Requirement §201.6(c)(4)(iii): *[The plan maintenance process **shall** include a] discussion on how the community will continue public participation in the plan maintenance process.*

Element	Location in the Plan (section or annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	SCORE	
			N	S
A. Does the plan explain how continued public participation will be obtained? (For example, will there be public notices, an on-going mitigation plan committee, or annual review meetings with stakeholders?)	Vol. I Page 32	The County Clerk will publicize the annual public meetings and maintain involvement through the public access channel, webpage, and newspapers.		X
SUMMARY SCORE				X